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Counterpart is the prime recipient of the USAID-funded Building Alliances for Local Advancement, Development and Investment – Capacity Building (BALADI CAP) activity. Management Systems International (MSI) is the lead technical partner implementing the BALADI CAP activity in Lebanon.

BEYOND REFORM AND DEVELOPMENT is a mission-driven consulting firm specializing in policy research, public management, capacity development, and partnership building. BRD is renowned for its ability to bridge global knowledge in the Arab context, its partnership mindset, and its experiential methodologies. As part of its Policy Research service, BRD undertakes interdisciplinary policy research using frameworks and methodologies tailored for each context and initiative to support policy makers and international agencies in finding viable, innovative and evidence-based solutions to socio-economic problems, and the challenges of governance and development. Using qualitative and quantitative research tools, BRD conducts policy analysis and evaluation, develops policy solutions, and implements perception studies and impact assessments. Through its Partnership Building services, BRD offers support to design participatory and innovative strategies and tactics to engage stakeholders, including governments, public institutions, civil society organizations, private sector corporations and communities. Through this engagement, BRD helps build partnerships with shared values and purpose, driven by service to lead political, policy and institutional transformations throughout the MENA region.

CASE STUDIES IN LEBANESE CIVIL SOCIETY ADVOCACY.

Within the BALADI CAP framework, Counterpart supported a research project on civil society advocacy in Lebanon with the goal of developing learning products that will afford USAID and future implementing and local partners insights into the pressing challenges and opportunities at the nexus of civil society and local governance in the Lebanese context.

The scope of the advocacy case studies aligns with BALADI CAP’s Civic Engagement Initiative (CEI) component, which seeks to broaden the democratic space for citizen participation in public affairs by creating platforms for informed public debate and increased citizen engagement beyond sectarian and confessional lines. The CEI component includes three advocacy issue networks: Governance and Accountability; Human Rights; and Environment Preservation and Solid Waste Management.

Drawing on the CEI of BALADI CAP, BRD and Counterpart mapped advocacy campaigns active in Lebanon between 2013 and 2018, specifically civil society efforts related to the use of public space, women’s rights to nationality, solid waste management, the rights of people with disabilities, and answers for parents of individuals disappeared during Lebanon’s civil war. Because the campaigns call for government action, transparency, and policy change, governance and accountability are common threads throughout the case studies. The resulting five studies highlight best practices and common factors contributing to civil society’s advocacy successes or shortcomings and enhance an understanding of CSOs’ needs while working on advocacy to further advance rights and reform in Lebanon. All final case studies
will be posted on the BALADI CAP website (baladicap.com) and the Beyond Group website (beyongroupconsulting.com).

CAMPAIGN ISSUE AND CONTEXT

The 15-year Lebanese Civil War ended with the signing of the National Accord on October 22, 1989, in Ta’if city in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, by the living members of the 1972 parliament. Although the end of the war did not effectively reconcile differences among its people, Lebanon’s government institutions began to take shape and start functioning normally.

However, the assassination of former Prime Minister Rafiq el Hariri on February 14, 2005, with suspicion his death was caused by the Syrian regime and Hezbollah, divided the country yet again. Hateful rhetoric and political division continued to intensify until May 7, 2008, when clashes on the streets of Beirut between Hezbollah and the Future Movement turned violent. Sunni groups in Tripoli reacted a few days later, May 10 in particular, and the Alawite Jabal Mohsen, Hezbollah’s ally in the city, was attacked leading to casualties and injuries. This attack marked the first in a series of 22 rounds of clashes between the Sunni areas of Bab El Tabbaneh, Qobbe, and Baddawi from one side and the predominantly Alawite Jabal Mohsen from another. The situation was again exacerbated in 2011 by the war in Syria as supporters and opponents of the Syrian regime clashed. The clashes intensified, causing more destruction and casualties.

On April 1, 2014, the Government of Lebanon (GoL) headed by Prime Minister Tammam Salam started implementing a security plan in Tripoli putting an end to the numerous rounds of clashes and returning normalcy to the city. The clashes have left hundreds of families living in dire economic situations and increased the number of disabled individuals living in the city. While the security plan has brought calm to the city, it has not led to a return of development work to necessary economic or social levels. To complement the security apparatus, local civil society activists and NGOs started carrying out rehabilitation projects, community activities, and advocacy actions to enhance the basic conditions of the people living in the city and promote their engagement in a long-term reconciliation process.

A MOVEMENT EMERGES FOR DISABILITY RIGHTS

During the conflict analysis research conducted as part of “The Roadmap to Reconciliation in Tripoli (RRT)”\(^1\), which was later complemented by another conflict assessment done for the UNDP Peacebuilding Program, activists and NGOs under the RRT learned that the most common concern among the people they interviewed (over 35 individuals) concerned people who were severely injured following the clashes in Tripoli. “The scars of war in their case [aren’t] a matter of perceptions or opinions but rather real pain that influences their livelihoods, health, psychological wellbeing and much more,” said one of the lead researchers in the RRT.

\(^1\) The “Roadmap to Reconciliation in Tripoli,” (RRT) project is a grassroots initiative that aims at launching a transitional justice route through understanding the public perceptions in Tripoli about reconciliation as well as people’s readiness and willingness to engage in a communal reconciliation process once launched. https://citiesintransition.files.wordpress.com/2017/06/the-roadmap-to-reconciliation-in-tripoli_may20171.pdf
This information was validated by representatives and local staff of the Ministry of Social Affairs (MOSA) in Tripoli, the official institution responsible for caring for individuals with disabilities (including people with disabilities injured during the clashes), who confirmed that the category including individuals injured and/or disabled from the clashes were among the most vulnerable and impoverished. Therefore, addressing the rights of people with disabilities as a cause became an integral component of the efforts aiming to launch a grassroots reconciliation process by the RRT partners and supported by both UNDP and MOSA. Efforts to create a joint Sunni-Alawite committee of individuals physically disabled as a result of the clashes to advocate for the rights of all their peers was then launched, and later became known as Al Harake Barake (Movement is Life). The Movement received support from UNDP’s Mechanisms for Social Stability (MSS) project in Tripoli implemented in partnership with MOSA. The latter supported outreach to key individuals who were considered to be important members of a committee to support advocacy efforts.

Because of its experience with a network of people affected by the conflict, MOSA was given the responsibility of selecting about 15 community members to form Al Harake Barake. A series of facilitated UNDP-funded sessions and trainings hosted by the MOSA Social Development Centers (SDC), the committee developed the following theory of change:

“If Al Harake Barake committee of the people disabled from the Tripoli clashes continues to advocate for basic rights and services, then they will be able to highlight the needs of a larger base of the people with disabilities in Tripoli and represent them creating a community of both Sunnis and Alawites working for a common cause, because there was never such an inclusive approach to get them to work together especially that a main partner on this initiative is the Ministry of Social Affairs which is partially responsible for some of these services.”

Once the theory of change was developed, the committee had several discussion sessions and agreed on the following objectives, with the support of UNDP’s consultant and MOSA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGAL</th>
<th>ECONOMIC</th>
<th>HEALTH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Work with the Lebanese State through the relevant official institutions to issue the necessary decrees for the implementation of Law 220/2000 which guarantees the right to work for people with disabilities through a 3% quota in public and private institutions.</td>
<td>• Advocate both public and private institutions in Tripoli to start implementing Law 220/2000 even before issuing the implementing decrees. This contributes to improving the lives of tens of families affected by the clashes and strengthens social stability.</td>
<td>• Ensure that health institutions, whether governmental or private, meet the needs of people with disabilities either by activating the card for people with disabilities or by having the Ministry of Health provide them with the necessary medication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Advocate to MOSA to reconsider the criteria for identifying people with disabilities to include a larger number of individuals, specifically those affected by violent conflicts.</td>
<td>• Work closely with the municipality of Tripoli to ensure that people with disabilities can rent kiosks or shops in public markets provided by the municipality, to have a small income that could enhance their economic situation.</td>
<td>• Link the health service providers to people with disabilities, who were mapped through the project to meet their needs in case of emergency through the available resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Request a limited financial assistance card for the people with disabilities in Tripoli, which can be covered through donations from the international community.</td>
<td>• Advocating for people with disabilities to receive basic coverage from the National Social Security Fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Work with local associations, institutes and the Social Development Centers of the Ministry of Social Affairs to create and provide specialized services for people with disabilities.</td>
<td>• Developing social centers or clubs close to the areas of residence for the people with disabilities in order to provide them with psychosocial support.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Disability Rights Act No. 220/2000. Article 74 of this law obligated private-sector employers who have at least 30 workers to employ disabled persons in accordance with specific ratios (one for a staff of 30 to 60 workers, or 3% for a staff of more than 60 workers), [http://legal-agenda.com/en/article.php?id=3627](http://legal-agenda.com/en/article.php?id=3627)
Al Harake Barake evolved into a local advocacy campaign calling for the rights of the people who were physically affected by the conflict in Tripoli. Since the campaign's membership included ex-fighters, it was important to manage internal dynamics (Sunni – Alawite) with the support of an external consultant who helped the group by facilitating their meetings, guiding them through their strategy, and equipping them with the needed skills to present themselves to their community. Many community members blamed these advocates for the clashes as they were directly involved in violence; so it was important that community members accepted that these fighters were now involved in advocacy work calling for law implementation.

This case study was chosen among selected campaigns as it brings forward several lessons related to:

- Developing partnerships with government for advocacy
- Engaging the affected people directly in the campaign
- Linking local efforts to national ones
- Ensuring cohesion among a group of former rivals
- Engaging the most vulnerable community members in structured and organized advocacy work
- Building a comprehensive evidence-based advocacy case and the usage of data

**ACTIVITIES ANALYSIS**

The process of forming and empowering the Al Harake Barake local committee included:

- Building on the existing RRT research as well as the UNDP Tripoli Conflict Assessment to better understand the root causes and outcomes, as well as the impact of the sectarian clashes on physically affected individuals;
- Forming a committee of people with disabilities representing the different conflicting neighborhoods in Tripoli, as well as the two conflicting sects (Sunni & Alawite), and finally comprising both men and women who have contacts within their community for outreach purposes;
- Training the committee members to take part in the development and execution of surveys and mapping of cases, reflecting on the data collecting and analyzing results, and building their interview and communications skills;
- Raising their awareness on the basic information related to the Law 220/2000;
- Contributing to the committee’s psychosocial well-being in an effort to build cohesion among them;
- Equipping them with key social (communications, presentation of the team, facilitating meetings, etc.) and technical skills (filling the questionnaire, asking questions, preparing CVs, preparing for interviews, etc.) to become better advocates of their own cause;
- Conducting door-to-door surveys to document cases of injured people with disabilities who were forbidden from continuing their work.
- Meeting key decision-makers to advocate for the campaign objectives stated above.

**Building an evidence-based advocacy case**

In order to gain traction on the implementation of Article 24 of Law 220/2000, Al Harake Barake had to present evidence-based data and information from two main resources:

- **Existing research:** based on the RRT research and the conflict analysis of Tripoli
- **New data:** through door-to-door data collection interviews
The RRT research took over six months to complete and the conflict assessment phase took around two months. These phases engaged over 350 individuals in the city, primarily living in the former conflict zones. Later, upon the decision to establish the committee as an MSS, clear criteria were set by UNDP and MOSA to ensure equity in representation and ability to achieve impact. In consultation with MOSA, 15 members of the community were met representing the following:

- Sunnis and Alawites
- Men and women
- Physically disabled during the clashes
- Have a wide network of connections within their neighborhoods

The process was highly participatory as the committee worked closely with MOSA and the RRT team to develop a detailed questionnaire administered in door-to-door interviews. The data were presented by the team to UNDP staff, local NGOs, donor organizations, and MOSA to align their understanding of the situation and highlight the priorities for intervention. This activity recorded data about the disabled from clashes in the city for the first time and was preceded by other information and analysis that contributed to making this initiative an evidence-based advocacy case.

Later, these members were invited by the RRT team and UNDP’s consultant to a series of meetings during which they were introduced to the project, the process, and objectives. Eight out of the 15 agreed to join the process as committee members while some of the others expressed their availability to support it. Others decided not to join as they believed that the process would be futile and that they expect jobs or food/non-food rations to assist them, as one of the interviewees explained. For those who accepted to join, the RRT team gave them a short training on community engagement and data collection so that they can take part in the mapping of cases.

The surveying process began in mid-August 2017 and took around one month to complete during which eight of the committee members along with MOSA staff went through a door-to-door interviewing process to map more than 120 individuals with disabilities that they suffered during the clashes. The teams were divided according to the neighborhoods they represented to capitalize on their networks, maintain trust, and allow for an ease of access to people. “We found that the age range of people with injuries that led to disabilities was between 4 and 82 years old. This meant that among those affected were children who were one year old when they were injured.” The presence of social workers from the MOSA SDC during visits allowed for a firsthand validation of the data, giving additional credibility to their efforts.

The combination of reports in addition to the mapping results and personal testimonials equipped the campaign’s team with enough information and evidence that special attention should be given to cater for the dire economic and social status of people with disabilities from the Tripoli clashes. In addition to this, the data set they have collected allowed them to connect these people with programs led by other donors and INGOs whether they were on vocational training, access to employment, health support, or psychosocial support.

**Thinking and working politically**

For each of the objectives set for the campaign, the team mapped the relevant key stakeholders and decision-makers they should engage. For issues linked to employability, the team noted the importance of meeting with and engaging the Ministry of Labor; for the legal
issues and implementation of Law 220/2000, it was important to meet with the Minister of Social Affairs since the Ministry is the key entity responsible for protecting the rights of people with disabilities. At the local level, they engaged the Municipality of Tripoli and local private and public institutions. Different tactics (telephone calls, in-person visits, letters, invitation to events, etc.) were used to engage these decision-makers depending on the role they played. While the MOSA SDC staff was engaged from the beginning, its commitment to the process was encouraged by a partnership between the UNDP (the initial donor) and the Ministry for its interest to play a more direct role with the beneficiaries at the local level. The engagement of MoSA early on in the process increased its ownership of the process and hence the ministry saw itself as one team with the campaign leads. This has paved the way for the committee to meet with the Director General of MOSA, present the data they gathered from the research and ask him to support the committee by pushing for the implementation of Law 220/2000, in addition to revising the criteria set for identifying people with disabilities to include those injured during clashes, and to cater for their needs and include them as priority beneficiaries within MOSA’s programs. Right after the formation of the new government in January 2019, the team invited the Minister of Social Affairs to a local conference highlighting the process of work and the objectives of the campaign and sharing testimonials from committee members. During the conference, the Minister promised to follow up closely with the committee as well as with his colleague, the new Minister of Labor, to ensure that the necessary decrees would be issued to ensure the implementation of Law 220/2000.

It is important to note that the Harake Barake team sent several requests to engage the previous Minister of Labor in the process and to understand the needed steps for the Law 220/2000 to be implemented; however, the minister was not responsive, and the meetings never took place. The campaign continues to be active and follow up meetings with the ministers will be planned.

At the local level, the campaign’s team met with the Mayor of Tripoli and members of the municipal council, including the only member with disabilities within the council. During this meeting, the head of the municipality committed to form a municipal committee to follow up with Al Harake Barake on the progress of work and to provide support. The mayor also promised to accommodate the recruitment of 3% individuals with disabilities in the municipality, which was seen as a great success for the campaign, but the municipality later faced difficulties in implementing this decision because of the bureaucratic and mundane recruitment process. During the time of writing this report, the mayor was replaced, and the team planned to meet with the new mayor to relaunch the discussion.

The campaign also identified 10 private sector businesses in Tripoli to advocate to implement Law 220/2000, while they are matched with people with disabilities with appropriate skills for their companies. The companies expressed interest in supporting the campaign and understanding the importance of this move, however, only one company recruited from the pool of applicants.

Engaging and mobilizing constituencies

Integral components of the campaign were the messages it was delivering and the tactics the team used to deliver these messages. From the beginning, the team wanted to make sure that all committee members voice the same message as to why they came together in the first place.

"We wanted both the decision makers and the general public to connect with personal stories and understand their suffering, hence we documented 7 different testimonials in an attempt to bring our campaign closer to people’s attention.”

- Campaign member
The campaign faced opposition in the community specifically because its focus was to support those who were injured during the clashes. “We were told many times that we shouldn’t support former fighters as they were the reason why the city had suffered for years,” explained one team member. It was important, therefore, to highlight the stories of the committee members through the media, and to give them the opportunity to be seen as equal once again. In order to engage the general public, a full branding and visibility campaign was implemented, which included developing a name for the campaign, a logo, visual materials such as the brochures, an animation video, and seven short stories about individuals who were injured during the clashes and were left with certain disabilities, all of which were shared on the campaign’s social media platforms.

While external communication was very important for the success of the campaign to change public perception towards ex-fighters or people from the area that were previously known as the war frontline fighters of Tripoli, internal communication was equally necessary. As mentioned previously, committee members represented the different sects and neighborhoods of Tripoli: Some of the members had been fighters while others were victims. Paying close attention to the group dynamics was essential to allowing people to speak their minds and open up at the same time listening to each other. “We were not taken by surprise that only eight people accepted to commit to working together; people still hold grudges, the wounds caused by the conflict are still deep and their scars still hurt,” explained another member of the committee. “If there is no will to open your heart and accept the other, reconciliation and peace won’t be achieved,” he continued. The close follow-up and coaching of the committee members, through the facilitation of meetings and informal conversations, in addition to the creation of a common WhatsApp group, slowly allowed the committee members to better understand and sympathize with each other, reaching an understanding that they shared the same conditions and were equally vulnerable, and that only together could they overcome their suffering.

As MOSA was hosting the campaign’s meetings at its center in Qobbeh, it was important that the committee members felt comfortable accessing the center. However, the lack of access for users of wheelchairs discouraged several members of the committee, concluding that that the main public institution responsible for protecting their rights did not provide reasonable accommodation and accessibility. To address this, the campaign’s team invited them to a brainstorming session to devise solutions to this challenge. Their efforts secured modest funding from UNDP to rehabilitate the entrance of the SDC center, install a ramp and modify the bathrooms for accessibility. These achievements reassured committee members that their voices were being heard and their rights respected.

Developing Local Capacity for Sustained Reform

As this campaign focused on both behavioral and policy change, the campaign team felt it essential that they and committee members have the right set of skills to manage the campaign and to equally contribute to its success. At the same time, all committee members live below the poverty line and are among the most vulnerable residents of Tripoli; it was understood from the beginning that the campaign would not have an “elitist” approach engaging seasoned advocates. Acknowledging that, all the trainings and capacity building sessions were tailored to accommodate their needs, skills, attention span, and even the time
they could allocate to attend such sessions. Most sessions took place on Friday mornings before weekly prayers in order to avoid using time they could be generating income.

The team adopted a hands-on approach that focused on exposing committee members to other experiences, either through visits such as that to Arc en Ciel's workshop of people with disabilities in Beirut, or through watching documentaries and stories of people with disabilities who have succeeded. The team also worked on building their confidence that advocacy is feasible and actually lead to successes. Furthermore, and to better prepare them for the labor market, community members with disabilities attended training and coaching sessions on basic business and employability skills such as basic communications, work ethics, time management, anger management, and interviewing skills, and were supported by the team to prepare CVs to share with private and public sector organizations. Legal sessions facilitated by a lawyer also raised their awareness regarding Law 220/2000, how it protects their rights and how its implementation could improve their situations.

SUCCESSES AND CHALLENGES
During its five months of implementation, the campaign has achieved some success while facing many challenges. Looking at both successes and challenges allowed the team and the committee to identify lessons learned to share with other campaigns and to consider while continuing to work on the issue. These points are discussed below.

SUCCESSES

Developing Trust with the Government: The partnership with the Ministry of Social Affairs gave the process credibility and also helped to restore some of the trust that was lost between the community and government. This restored trust is helping to strengthen the foundation for a long-term reconciliation process, as one of the main pillars was the trust in the government and its local representative institutions.

Building Strong Relations with the Community: The campaign has been led by the disabled people themselves defending their rights, which in turn helped to change perceptions among those who were accusing the campaign of defending ex-fighters. At the same time, strong relationships developed between the committee members themselves who come from different sectarian backgrounds; they were able to work together, advocate together, and succeed together.

Partnering with INGOs and Donor Agencies: The successes throughout the process have encouraged some INGOs such as the ICRC to work with the group by accepting referrals to cases that needed surgeries or artificial limbs maintenance. A wheelchair basketball team under the name Harake Barake was formed as part of the ICRC’s program, to help them with the psychosocial and health aspects, and is now being trained on weekly basis. In addition, donor agencies such as USAID supported the group through local NGOs to enhance or develop their own small businesses.

Raising Awareness: The campaign helped to raise awareness among private sector companies about the law and the importance of its implementation, in addition to spreading this knowledge among the advocates themselves. This also contributed to the efforts of national campaigns calling for the implementation of the law.

Equipping a Team of Unexperienced Advocates: The training and coaching that was given to the team has increased their self-confidence that they are both socially and economically equal contributors to the country, putting them at the forefront of the campaign, meeting
people, and standing strong. Such efforts contributed to one person with disabilities getting employed in one of the companies that the campaign had reached out to.

**CHALLENGES**

**Lack of trust in the government:** There is no doubt that, as mentioned earlier, the lack of attention from the government towards this community group has increased the gap between the two and positioned people with disabilities as rivals to the government. In order to mitigate this challenge the committee relied on the presence of the MOSA teams to work closely with the beneficiaries, support them, build personal relations with them and join them in all activities including the wheelchair basketball trainings. Seeing the MOSA staff next to them throughout the process allowed the committee members to feel equal and regain some of the trust that was lost in public institutions. MOSA employees supported the committee to meet high-level MOSA leaders, including the Director General and the Minister. But that indeed remains a fragile relationship, particularly if MOSA does not consistently address the priorities of the overall beneficiaries' base.

**Lack of trust in NGOs and the Donor Community:** The beneficiaries have suffered rounds of disappointments from NGOs and INGOs over the years. Several interviewees repeated how “they take pictures of us to report to their donors but don’t actually work with us or provide us with anything.” Throughout the process of this campaign members of the RRT demonstrated to committee members that that generalization does not always apply, as they managed to link them with training programs, business development programs, and even health support programs. A key to that was the approach of getting the committee members to be the face of the campaign and not any local NGO or individual activist.

**Lack of knowledge of Law 220/2000:** As they started working with people with disabilities in the community, the team realized that the committee, along with over 90% of surveyed beneficiaries, were not aware of the disability rights Law 220/2000. Many efforts and several sessions with a lawyer raised awareness of the issue and clarified the law, ensuring that the committee and beneficiaries are on the same page in terms of what rights this law protects. The greatest challenge was simplifying a complex and comprehensive law drafted in standard Arabic to a mostly illiterate audience.

**Accessibility of the MOSA center:** While MOSA was the main counterpart and partner on this project and the host of the committee meetings, people with disabilities struggled to access the center as it was not properly equipped to host them. Some committee members protested and discussed moving the meetings outside the SDC stating that such a partnership would not work if they were not respected. To counter that, the committee worked closely with the UNDP to ensure funding to renovate the center’s entrance and make bathrooms accessible.

**Lack of communication skills:** Although the committee members were trained to maintain the social media platforms and to design their messages in a positive tone, the committee was still not capable of managing its communications platform. The person who was most knowledgeable found a full-time job and was not able to invest more time in it. This continues to be a key challenge today as the committee’s Facebook page is not being updated regularly.

**Managing expectations:** Despite the fact that the campaign leads explained that the focus of the campaign is not only economic or only about increasing employability, community members had high expectations on those fronts. The lack of responsiveness of both the
private and public sectors to ensure employment disappointed many committee members and led some to stop attending and participating in meetings or other activities.

**Inability to link to national campaigns:** Due to the short time frame in which this campaign was implemented and the lack of responsiveness of NGOs operating at the national level, it was hard for the committee to expand beyond Tripoli. Efforts continue to be made to reach out to members of national campaigns to join forces towards the implementation of Law 220 or at least to keep the beneficiaries engaged in nationwide developments of its implementation progress.

**LESSONS LEARNED**

**Small wins to maintain momentum:** When engaging with non-activists in the traditional sense or those who understand that advocacy is a long-term effort, small wins during the process are necessary. These small wins give the campaign members a chance to feel the importance of what they are doing. For instance, the rehabilitation of the MOSA center gave them a bigger push to keep going to meetings and contributing to the process. Managing to secure a job opportunity for a beneficiary from outside the committee gave them a lot of pride that they were achieving what they committed to with their community members, in addition to exposing them to other success stories of sustainable enterprises or initiatives for a similar cause such as the Arc en Ciel workshop visit. These small wins gave them hope that they too could grow and achieve if they had enough patience and perseverance.

**Creating a common identity and sense of belonging:** Visibility is important. Coming up with a name and a logo gave the group an identity and a sense of belonging and reflected their goals, priorities and culture. Coupling that with visual aids such as brochures, videos, pins, vests and name tags allowed them to be identified within their community as agents of change rather than “ex-fighters” or “unproductive disabled” hence positively contributing to their psychosocial well-being and commitment to the process.

**Evidence-based advocacy case:** Having arguments based on numbers, legal texts, and testimonials from the people positions the committee as strong advocates who have done their homework well. This gave them a stronger position when meeting with the Minister and Director General of MOSA, the Mayor of Tripoli, and public and private sector institutions. They spoke on behalf of over 120 individuals who were injured during the clashes in an effort to transform forever the lives of these beneficiaries.

**Ensuring linkages to local initiatives:** The organizers realized the importance of linking the movement to other local initiatives and INGOs to ensure some diversification of experiences and sustainability. Through connecting them with the local NGO SHIFT, they managed to apply to business support programs and receive in-kind grants to start small scale businesses; they also managed to connect with ICRC to receive medical support to change or check on their artificial limbs, where applicable, as well as the sponsorship of the wheelchair basketball team.

**Focusing objectives:** The process led to the realization that ensuring employability will be a very challenging objective taking into consideration the unidentified local market needs in Tripoli, the growing competition over jobs, and the psychosocial conditions of those disabled from the clashes. It became apparent to the team that for proper job placements, the
beneficiaries should undergo rounds of preparations for the labor market, including close coaching to reshape their attitudes from aggressive and angry individuals raging against the system to cooperative and productive ones. Once that is achieved, they could compete for jobs and be better positioned to convince institutions to employ them.

**Formalizing the Committee:** It became apparent to the team that the committee on its own cannot sustain itself without funding and a lead organization to support it. Linking it to NGOs and INGOs has managed to keep it going so far; at the same time, for it to be able to continue working toward its objectives there needs to be a formal body to host it, link it to the umbrella of national advocacy work, and support it to get the necessary training and capacity building to not only manage but also lead such advocacy initiatives.

**THE WAY FORWARD**

Upon conversations with members of Al Harake Barake and their partners on the project there was a general agreement that the movement shall continue. However, for it to be able to do so it needs to continue to present itself as the local movement of people with disabilities who survived rounds of conflicts and now have a positive outlook towards the future. The committee plans to continue its efforts to meet with key decision makers and stakeholders to push forward its agenda, more specifically as one of the coordination committee members noted, they plan to meet with the new mayor of Tripoli in the hopes that he would be more cooperative than the previous mayor. At the same time, the movement plans to intensify its meetings with private sector companies especially in light of the new measure taken by the Ministry of Labor to regulate informal foreign labor, hence providing a bigger opportunity for Lebanese citizens to find jobs.

Through the support of current SDC staff they plan to meet again with the Director General of MOSA and the Minister to keep their issue on the table.

Should they prove successful in the long run and with enough support and capacity building the current committee wishes to register as an official NGO, allowing them to enter more formal networks and compete for funding.
### ANNEX I: MOVEMENT IS LIFE (AL HARAKE BARAKE)

#### TIMELINE: MOVEMENT IS LIFE

- **2000**
  - Disability Rights Act No. 220/2000

- **2008**
  - Neighborhood clashes in Tripoli.

- **2014**
  - Government of Lebanon implements security plan to end clashes.

- **2016**
  - Roadmap to Reconciliation to focus on peacebuilding.

- **2017**
  - **JUNE**
    - UNDP conflict analysis report on Tripoli.
  - **AUGUST**
    - Series of meetings to form the committee, devise identity, training workshops, etc.
  - **NOVEMBER**
    - First committee meeting for the selected representatives of the disabled takes place calling for implementation of Law 220/2000.

- **2018**
  - **JANUARY**
    - Private sector engagement initiated; municipality engagement.
  - **MARCH**
    - Engagement of Director General of MOSA.

- **2019**
  - **JULY**
    - Committee starts diversifying donors and partners (ICRC, USAID), and activities.
  - **MARCH**
    - Minister of MOSA promises to follow up on law implementation.
ENDNOTES

1 The “Roadmap to Reconciliation in Tripoli,” (RRT) project is a grassroots initiative that aims at launching a transitional justice route through understanding the public perceptions in Tripoli about reconciliation as well as people’s readiness and willingness to engage in a communal reconciliation process once launched. https://citiesintransition.files.wordpress.com/2017/06/the-roadmap-to-reconciliation-in-tripoli_may20171.pdf

1 Disability Rights Act No. 220/2000. Article 74 of this law obligated private-sector employers who have at least 30 workers to employ disabled persons in accordance with specific ratios (one for a staff of 30 to 60 workers, or 3% for a staff of more than 60 workers). http://legal-agenda.com/en/article.php?id=3627